

Reduction and typing of complex classes

Peter Vanderbilt
pvanderbilt@acm.org

Introduction

- Interest in mutually-recursive nested classes
 - Language features
 - Statically type correct (no runtime casts)
- Reductions: mapping high-level constructs to lower-level terms
 - Provide semantics
 - Validate, or derive, type rules
- Nested classes lead to virtual types, “complex content” and “hybrids”
- The reduction of this paper handles complex content, a step towards nested classes

Very simple reduction

- Class definition
 - top-level, closed, simple content
 - “self” (aka “this”) denotes the future object and is captured by λ -expressions in e^*
 - result: binds C and “**new C**”
- Reduction
 - reduces to type and function
 - **new C** \triangleq $C_new()$

```
class C { const  $x^* : T^* = e^*$  }  $\mapsto$   
type C = {  $x^* : T^*$  };  
func C_new () =  $\mu(\text{self})$  {  $x^* = e^*$  }
```

```
class A {  
  const x : Int = 5  
  const get_x : ()-->Int =  $\lambda()$ self.x  
}  $\mapsto$   
type A = { x : Int; gx : ()-->Int };  
func A_new () =  
   $\mu(\text{self})$  { x= 5; get_x =  $\lambda()$ self.x }
```

Type-preservation

- A type-correct program will be type correct when reduced
 - Prove that the reduction is type correct given premises and yields the right declarations
 - And has correct properties
 - “**new C**” : C
- Actually derive high-level rule from reduction
 - What premises are needed for a subproof of the reduction
 - Explore restrictions & extensions

```
class C { const  $x^* : T^* = e^*$  }  $\mapsto$   
type C = {  $x^* : T^*$  };  
func C_new () =  $\mu(\text{self}) \{ x^* = e^* \}$   
type C={ $x^*:T^*$ }; func C_new() $=\mu(\text{self})\{x^*=e^*\}$ 
```

```
 $\Gamma \vdash T^* :: *$   
 $\Gamma; C \langle\text{---}\rangle \{x^*:T^*\}; \text{self} : C \vdash e^* : T^*$   
-----  
 $\Gamma \vdash \text{class } C \{ \text{const } x^* : T^* = e^* \}$   
 $\rightarrow C \langle\text{---}\rangle \{x^*:T^*\}; C\_new : () \text{---}\rangle C$ 
```

Nesting

- Goal: reduce nested class definitions by nested reductions:
 - reduce inner, then
 - reduce outer
- But inner reduction has a type definition (and other issues)
 - class B has “complex” content
 - $b:B \Rightarrow b.A$ is a type, “new b.A” (aka `b.A_new()`) creates instances
 - b is a “hybrid”

```
class B {  
  class A { const x : Int = 5 };  
  const f ()-->A =  $\lambda()$  new A; ...  
}  
 $\mapsto$  // reduce inner  
class B {  
  type A = { x : Int };  
  func A_new () : A =  $\mu(\text{self})$  { x = 5 };  
  const f : ()-->A =  $\lambda()$ A_new(); ...  
}  
 $\mapsto$  // reduce outer -- oops!
```

Hybrids

- Hybrid expressions (h)
 - Hybrid literal: { ... }
 - **const** $x = e$
 - **meta** $X = M$
 - **hconst** $x = h$
 - also λ , $()$, μ , **let-in**, **with**, ...

- Hybrid types (H)

- type literals: { ... }
 - **const** $x : T$
 - **meta** $X : Z$
 - **hconst** $x : H$

- $\tau[r] H$

- also ...

- $h = \{ \text{type } T = \text{Int}; \text{const } x = 5 \}$
 - $h.x$ is an Int
 - $h.T$ is a type expression (=Int)
 - “**const** $c : h.T = h.x$ ” is OK

type $T : \{\{?\leftrightarrow\text{Int}\}\}$

- $h : \{ \text{type } T \leftrightarrow \text{Int}; \text{const } x : \text{Int} \}$

$\tau[r] \{ \text{type } T; \text{const } x : r.T \}$

$Z ::= \{\{?\}\} \mid \{\{?\odot T\}\} \mid \dots$
 $\odot \in \{\leftrightarrow, <:, :>\}$

Definitions of classes with complex content

$J^c ::= \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ F^* \}$

$F ::= [\text{member} \mid \text{static}] J$

$J ::= [\text{virtual} \mid \text{refine}] V$
| **abstract** L
| (**impl** | **override**) F

$V ::= \text{const } x : T = e$
| **meta** $X :: K : Z = M$
| **hconst** $x : Tg = (Te) e$

Some abbreviations:

func $f (x^*:T^*) : V = e \approx$
const $f : (T^*) \dashrightarrow V = \lambda(x^*)e$

type $X : Z = T \approx \text{meta } X :: * : Z = T$

type $+X = T \approx \text{meta } X :: * : \{ \{ ?<:T \} \} = T$

Result of class definitions

- After “**class C ...**”, several entities exist:
 - “**new C**”: creates instances of the class -- which have member fields
 - **C@** and **C#** are types of instances
 - **C** is a “class object,” which has static fields and more
 - **{?<<C}** is a type with class objects as its instances
 - “**extends C**” is available for extending (subclassing) **C**
- Partial classes do not have: **new C**, **C@** or **C**.

Types $C@$ and $C\#$

- Type $C@$ is the “exact” type
 - Instances of $C@$ are those of the class (but not subclasses)
 - $C@$ provides type-safe access to all fields
- Type $C\#$ is the “general” (or “hash”) type
 - Instances of $C\#$ are those of this class and its subclasses
- $C\#$ provides for subclass polymorphism
- But for variables of type $C\#$, fields whose types reference virtual types require special handling
- **new** $C : C@ <: C\# <: D\#$
(for superclass D)
 - $C@$ & $D@$ are incompatible

Class objects

- A class object named C is one thing produced by a class definition for concrete C .
- Class object C is a hybrid containing:
 - Static fields ($C.s$)
 - type “Instance” of instances of the class
 - function $\text{new} : () \rightarrow C.\text{Instance}$
- Where
 - $C@ \triangleq C.\text{instance}$
 - “**new** C ” $\triangleq C.\text{new}()$
- C is a first-class object
- $\{\{? \ll C\}\}$ is a type containing C and class objects of subclasses of C
 - $C : \{\{? \ll C\}\} \triangleq C \ll C$
 - For any subclass, B , of C , $B \ll C$ ($\triangleq B : \{\{? \ll C\}\}$)

Special variables

- “self” (aka “this”) refers to the future instance object
- “Self” (cap “S”) refers to the future class object
- “ $x = \mathbf{new} C$ ” implies $\mathit{self}=x$ and $\mathit{Self}=C$
- They can appear in implementations
- They can also appear in types
- They provide access to virtual types (in canonical form)
 - $\mathit{self}.T$ is member virtual type T
 - $\mathit{Self}.T$ is static virtual type T
 - $\mathit{Self}@$ is the “self-type”
 - $\mathit{self} : \mathit{Self}@$
- Also “super” and “Super” can appear in implementations (but not types)

Basic form of the reduction

```
class  $C$  { ... }  $\mapsto$   
  hconst  $C = \mu(\text{Self})$  {  
    /* definitions of static fields */  
    type Instance = {...};  
    func new () : Self@ =  $\mu(\text{self})$  {...};  
  };  
  
  htype  $C\_gT = \tau[\text{Self}]$  {  
    /* declarations of static fields */  
    type Instance <: {...};  
  };  
  
  htype  $C\_hT = \cup[\text{Self} \ll C] \text{Self}@$   
    =  $\cup[\text{Self} : C\_gT] \text{Self}.Instance$   
  ...
```

```
new  $C$        $\triangleq C.new()$   
 $C@$           $\triangleq C.Instance$   
 $C\#$          $\triangleq C\_hT$   
 $\{\{ ? \ll C \}\}$   $\triangleq C\_gT$   
 $C.s$          $\triangleq C.s$ 
```

```
 $B \ll C$   $\triangleq B : \{\{ ? \ll C \}\}$   
           $\triangleq B : C\_gT$ 
```

Rewriting the class body

```
class  $C$  { ... }  $\mapsto$   
hconst  $C = \mu(\text{Self})$  {  
  /* definitions of static fields */  
  type Instance = {...};  
  func new () : Self@ =  $\mu(\text{self})$  {...};  
};  
htype  $C\_gT = \tau[\text{Self}]$  {  
  /* declarations of static fields */  
  type Instance <: {...};  
};  
htype  $C\_hT = \cup[\text{Self} \ll C] \text{Self}@$   
  =  $\cup[\text{Self} : C\_gT] \text{Self}. \text{Instance}$   
...
```

static ...; member ...

exact ...

exact ...

general ...

general ...

Exact & general aspects

class $C \{ F^* \}$

$F^* \approx$ **static** J^*_s ; **member** J^*_m

$J^*_s \approx$ **exact** $x^*_{se} :: K^*_{se} : T^*_{se} = e^*_s$; **general** $x^*_{sg} :: K^*_{sg} : T^*_{sg}$

$J^*_m \approx$ **exact** $x^*_{me} :: K^*_{me} : T^*_{me} = e^*_m$; **general** $x^*_{mg} :: K^*_{mg} : T^*_{mg}$

$\lambda() \mu(\text{self}) \{ x^*_{me} = e^*_m \} : \tau[\text{self}] \{ x^*_{me} : T^*_{me} \} <: \tau[\text{self}] \{ x^*_{mg} : T^*_{mg} \}$

new C : $C@$ <: $C\#$

virtual & refine	\approx	exact & general
abstract	\approx	just general
impl & override	\approx	just exact

Rewrites

const $x : T = e$	\approx	exact $x :: \varepsilon : T = e ;$ general $x :: \varepsilon : T$
meta $X :: K : Z = M$	\approx	exact $X :: K : \{\{?\leftrightarrow M\}\} = M ;$ general $X :: K : Z$
hconst $x :: K : Tg = (Te) e$	\approx	exact $x :: K : Te = e ;$ general $x :: K : Tg$
type $X : Z = T$	\approx	exact $X :: * : \{\{?\leftrightarrow T\}\} = T ;$ general $X :: * : Z$
type $+X = T$	\approx	exact $X :: * : \{\{?\leftrightarrow T\}\} = T ;$ general $X :: * : Z$

Summary of the Reduction

```
class  $C$  extends  $D$  { ... }  $\mapsto$  generic (inheritable) part  
htype  $C_{\alpha\beta Tc}$  [...] =  $D_{\alpha\beta Tc}$ [...] with {  $x^*_{\alpha\beta} : T^*_{\alpha\beta}$  };  
hfunc  $C_{ac}$  (...) :  $C_{\alpha\beta Tc}$ [...] =  
  let super =  $D_{ac}$ (...) in super with {  $x^*_{\alpha\beta} = e^*_\alpha$  };  
hconst  $C = \mu(C)$   $C_{sc}(C)$  with { class-specific part  
  type Instance =  $\tau[\text{self}]$   $C_{meTcc}[C, \text{self}]$ ;  
  func new () : Self@ =  $\mu(\text{self})$   $C_{mc}(C, \text{self})$ ;  
};  
htype  $C_{gT} = \tau[\text{Self}]$   $C_{sgTc}[\text{Self}]$  with {  
  type Instance <:  $\tau[\text{self}]$   $C_{mgTcc}[\text{Self}, \text{self}]$ ;  
};  
htype  $C_{hT} = \cup[\text{Self} \ll C]$  Self@;
```

$\alpha \in \{m, s\}$
 $\beta \in \{e, g\}$

Typing method implementations

```
hfunc C_mc (*Self:C_gT,*self:Self@) : C_meTcc[Self,self] =  
  let super = D_mc(Self,self) in super with {  $x_{me}^* = e_m^*$  };
```

```
 $\Gamma; \dots; \text{Self}:C\_gT; \text{self}:Self@; \text{super}:D\_meTcc[Self,self]$   
 $\vdash e_m^* : T_{me}^*$ 
```

note:

```
self : C_mgTcc[Self,self] = C_mgTcc[Self,self] with {  $x_{mg}^* : T_{mg}^*$  }  
Self : C_sgTc[Self]      = C_sgTc[Self] with {  $x_{sg}^* : T_{sg}^*$  }
```

Typing calls

$p : C@ \implies$

$p : C_meTcc[C,p] <: \{ x^*_{me} : T^*_{me} \langle \text{Self} \mapsto C, \text{self} \mapsto p \rangle \}$

$p.\text{Class} \leftrightarrow C$

$p : C\# \implies$

$p : C_mgTcc[p.\text{Class},p] <: \{ x^*_{me} : T^*_{me} \langle \text{Self} \mapsto p.\text{Class}, \text{self} \mapsto p \rangle \}$

$p.\text{Class} \ll C$

Example: Class object as parameter

```
interface Buildable [T] {  
  static func new () : Self@  
  func add (T) : Void  
}
```



```
htype Buildable_gT [T] = τ[Self] {  
  type Instance <: { func add (T) : Void }  
  func new () : Self@  
}
```

```
partial class Iterable [T] {  
  ...  
  func mapTo [R] (f:(T)→R, *C << Buildable[R]) : C@ {  
    const c = new C;  
    foreach (e in self.elements()) { c.add(f(e)) }  
    return c  
  } ... }
```

```
C << Buildable[R] ≐  
C : Buildable_gT[R] ==>  
C@ <: { add : (R)-->Void }  
C.new : ()-->C@
```

```
c.mapTo[Int](f,IntSet) : IntSet@
```

```
given c : Iterable[String]# and f : (String)-->Int and IntSet<<Buildable[Int]
```

Example: Hybrid field -- in implementation & type

```
partial class Iterable [T] {  
  func mapTo [R] (f:(T)→R,  
    *C << Buildable[R]) : C@ = ...;  
  static abstract hconst  
    DefC[X] << Buildable[X];  
  func map [R] (f:(T)→R)  
    : Self.DefC[R]@  
    = self.mapTo[R](f,Self.DefC[R]);  
  ... }  
}
```

```
Γ; T :: *; Self : Iterable_gT[T];  
  self : Self@; R :: *; f : (T)-->R  
⊢ self.mapTo[R](f,Self.DefC[R])  
  : Self.DefC[R]@
```

→

```
htype Iterable_gT [T] = τ[Self] {  
  DefC[X] << Buildable[X];  
  Instance <: {  
    mapTo: [R]-->(...)-->C@;  
    map: [R]-->(...)-->Self.DefC[R]@  
  }  
}  
  
func Iterable_mc [T]  
  (Self<<Iterable[T],self:Self@) =  
{  
  mapTo[R](f,C) = ....;  
  map[R](f) = self.mapTo...;  
}
```

Example: Handling “Self” in return type

```
class List [T]
  extends Buildable[T], Iterable[T]
{
  static impl hconst DefC = List;
  ...
}
class Set [T]
  extends Buildable[T], Iterable[T]
{
  static impl hconst DefC = Set;
  ...
}
class IntSet extends Set[Int] { ... }
```

```
List_meTc [T] [Self] <--> { ...;
  map: ... -->Self.DefC[R]@
}
List [T] : { ...;
  hconst DefC <--> List;
  type Instance <--> List_meTc[List[T]]
}
```

```
c : List[T]@ ==> c.map(f) : List[R]@
c : Set[T]@ ==> c.map(f) : Set[R]@
c : IntSet@ ==> c.map(f) : Set[R]@
```

```
c : Iterable[T]# ==>
  c.map(f) : U[Self<<Buildable[R]]
    Self.DefC[R]@
  c.map(f) : self.Class.DefC[R]@
  c.map(f) : Buildable[R]#
```

Summary: What this work is about

- Classes with “complex content”: data, types (and higher-order ones), nested hybrids
 - Types of fields depend on *values* of other type fields (`self.m`, `Self.s`)
- Reduction of complex class definitions to hybrid types functions & objects
 - Hybrids are objects with complex content (language and logic to come)
 - Includes a class object with Instance (`C@`) and `new` (**new** C)
- Typing rule that follows from the reduction

Future research

- Publish the lower-level language (of hybrids) and its logic
- Define reductions that support “sibling” or “current value” reference
- Define reductions that yield one object with everything inside

Thank you. Questions?

pvanderbilt@acm.org
petervanderbilt.com/research